T-MARS: Improving Visual Representations by Circumventing Text Feature Learning

<□> <@> < ≧> < ≧> < ≧> ≧ のQで 1/11

# LAION dataset

LAION dataset consists of (image, caption) pairs. Authors first randomly sample 500 samples and analysis them:

- 1. Un-correlated image and caption: 3.7%
- 2. Correlated visual feature and caption: 46.7%
- 3. Correlated visual feature and caption, random OCR text: 9.8%
- 4. Both visual feature and OCR text correlated with caption: 19.1%
- 5. Correlated OCR text and caption: 20.7%



Figure 1: Categorizing LAION dataset

## Objective

#### Properly curating the dataset is necessary!

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の Q ( 3/11

## Formally

Given an image-caption dataset  $S = (i, t)^n$  for contrastive training for CLIP like models, the goal is to curate a subset  $\hat{S} \subset S$ , such that under a fixed computation budget, model trained on  $\hat{S}$  performs better on zero-shot classification than model trained on S.

## T-MARS: Text-Masking and Rescoring

- 1. **Text Detection:** Apply text detection algorithm to identify the bounding boxes of text regions in the image.
- 2. **Text Masking:** Mask the text region by replacing it with average RGB value of surrounding pixels.
- 3. **Rescoring:** Evaluate the cosine similarity between original image and masked image.

4. Filtering: Filter out half of the lowest cosine similarity.

## Other Contributed Baselines

### C-SSFT

- Second-Split Forgetting Time (SSFT) identify mislabeled examples by finetuning the converged model on validation dataset, and check which examples change its labels the earliest.
- Similarly, C-SSFT proposes to finetune a pretrained CLIP model on Conceptual-Captions dataset, and keeps only the highest cosine similarity score between pretrained and finetuned models.

## C-RHO

- RHO proposes a loss to select samples that are worth learning, but not yet learned.
- Similarly, C-RHO proposes to 1) train model for one epoch on entire dataset 2) use model trained on CC3M dataset as a validation model 3) compare cosine similarity scores between two models.

## **Existing Baselines**

- LAION filtering (LAION-400M): Evaluate CLIP score using OpenAI's ViT-B/32 and filter samples with score lower than 0.281.
- **CLIP Score:** More stronger CLIP score threshold.
- Text Match: Removing all images with text that overlaps with the caption.

## Experiment: Setting

 Dataset: six different data pools from LAION-400M with 2M to 64M samples

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ のQで 8/11

- Fixed computation budget: total 32M samples
- Evaluation: Zeroshot evaluation on 1) ImageNet 2) ImageNet-ODD 3) VTAB 4) Retrieval
- Backbone: ResNet50 and ViT-B-32

## **Experiment:** Results

			ResNet-50				ViT-B-32			
Scale	Filtering	Dataset size	ImageNet	ImageNet dist. shifts	VTAB	Retrieval	ImageNet	ImageNet dist. shifts	VTAB	Retrieval
16M	LAION	100%	16.63	15.04	24.20	16.79	09.39	08.46	19.83	12.58
	CLIP Score (@ 50%)	50.0%	15.58	14.28	23.67	16.28	09.02	08.42	20.13	12.60
	Text-Match	86.4%	17.83	15.83	24.63	17.11	10.16	08.89	20.63	12.84
	C-SSFT	90.0%	17.49	15.61	24.90	17.31	10.10	08.94	19.67	13.26
	C-RHO	50.0%	19.46	17.39	26.45	18.60	10.87	09.34	21.22	13.93
	T-MARS	50.0%	20.25	17.71	26.50	18.45	12.09	10.35	22.64	14.15
	T-MARS ∩ C-SSFT	45.2%	20.81	18.28	26.49	18.96	12.56	10.60	21.96	14.36
	$\texttt{T-MARS} \cap \texttt{C-RHO}$	27.5%	21.63	18.62	26.70	19.53	12.61	10.94	23.48	14.58
	LAION	100%	21.90	18.90	27.30	20.18	14.98	12.38	23.21	16.03
32M	CLIP Score (@ 50%)	50.0%	20.84	18.79	25.71	19.54	14.69	12.86	22.81	15.32
	Text-Match	86.4%	23.80	20.70	28.74	21.41	15.96	13.26	24.45	16.44
	C-SSFT	90.0%	22.87	19.85	26.10	21.00	15.55	13.34	22.95	16.40
	C-RHO	50.0%	25.44	21.81	27.65	22.61	16.76	13.98	25.60	17.48
	T-MARS	50.0%	26.73	22.79	29.88	22.62	18.75	15.30	26.71	16.82
	${\tt T-MARS} \cap {\tt C-SSFT}$	45.2%	26.89	22.83	28.81	22.99	19.18	15.86	27.13	<u>17.82</u>
	T-MARS ∩ C-RHO	27.5%	27.20	23.30	30.30	22.77	<u>19.15</u>	15.86	26.93	18.04
	LAION	100%	26.34	23.24	29.09	23.91	20.37	17.97	27.85	18.83
	CLIP Score (@ 50%)	50.0%	25.66	22.83	29.05	23.36	20.07	17.27	27.55	18.33
64M	Text-Match	86.4%	29.11	24.94	30.35	25.75	23.11	19.04	28.82	19.37
	C-SSFT	90.0%	28.15	24.13	29.73	25.58	21.80	18.20	27.69	19.54
	C-RHO	50.0%	28.66	24.83	30.13	19.79	23.27	19.23	27.94	21.10
	T-MARS	50.0%	32.47	27.52	33.05	24.99	25.78	21.05	31.69	20.52
	T-MARS ∩ C-SSFT	45.2%	32.77	27.68	33.13	26.35	25.63	21.01	30.02	21.27
	T-MARS ∩ C-RHO	27.5%	32.63	27.23	32.77	25.57	25.62	20.73	31.57	20.63

#### Figure 2: Zeroshot accuracy

## **Experiment:** Results

- Taking intersection of curated subsets gained additional benefits
- Near linear gain on the size of dataset
- Filtering out bad examples are more important than adding new samples

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > ○ < ○ 10/11

Thank You

# Q & A

< □ > < □ > < □ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > 三 のへで 11/11